arXiv:1401.8050v2 [math.AG] 29 Jan 2015

BIRATIONAL GEOMETRY OF THE SPACE OF COMPLETE QUADRICS

CÉSAR LOZANO HUERTA

Abstract. We study the birational geometry of the moduli space of complete n-quadrics X. We exhibit generators for $\mathrm{Eff}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Nef}(X)$, the cone of effective divisors and the cone of nef divisors, respectively. As a corollary, we show that X is a Fano variety. Furthermore, we run the Minimal Model Program on X and find a moduli interpretation for the models induced by the generators of the nef cone. In the case of complete quadric surfaces, we describe all the birational models of X induced by the movable cone and find a moduli interpretation for some of these models.

Introduction

In 1864 Chasles [Cha64] introduced the space of complete conics in order to solve a famous enumerative problem. This space parameterizes conics with a marking, and it is defined as follows. Let C and C^* be a smooth conic and its dual conic, respectively. The space of complete conics is the closure of the set of pairs $X = \overline{\{(C, C^*)\}} \subset \mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^{5*}$. Soon after, Schubert in his seminal work [Sch79] introduced the higher dimensional generalization: the space of complete n-quadrics, which parametrizes marked quadrics and is the space we will study.

The space of complete $(n-1)$-quadrics is a compactification of the family of smooth quadric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$. In this paper we study the birational geometry of this classical space, which we denote by X_n, using the Minimal Model Program (MMP). In other words, we wish to understand the collection of all morphisms from X_n into a target variety which is normal and projective. All such target varieties can be defined in terms of the geometry of X_n. Indeed, let $X_n(D) := \mathrm{Proj}(\oplus_{m\geq 0} H^0(X_n, mD))$ be a *model* of X_n induced by the divisor D. For example, the space of complete conics has two such models: the projections to $\mathbb{P}^5$ and $\mathbb{P}^{5*}$. We provide an explicit description of some models in the next dimensional case, which is the space of complete quadric surfaces (Theorem A). For example, we show there are eight distinct birational models.

Remarkably, models obtained by running the MMP on a moduli space often can be interpreted as moduli spaces themselves. A priori, there is no reason for this to be the case. However, Hassett and Keel first exhibited this phenomenon in the context of the Deligne-Mumford compactification of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ [Has05], [HH09], [HH13]. In the same vein, Arcara, Bertram, Coskun and Huizenga [ABCH13] showed that the same phenomenon holds for the models of $\mathbf{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{P}^2)$, a compactification of the configuration space of n points on the plane. In this case, they explicitly demonstrate that the models can be interpreted as moduli spaces of Bridgeland stable objects on $\mathbb{P}^2$.

The purpose of the present paper is to show that the remarkable phenomenon first found by Hassett and Keel also applies to the space of complete quadrics and its birational models. In other words, the birational models of X_n are compactifications of the family of smooth quadrics and they can all be interpreted as moduli spaces. Theorem A and Theorem C are our main results about this.

Theorem A. *The cone of effective divisors of the space of complete quadric surfaces has eight Mori chambers. Furthermore, there is a moduli interpretation for some of the birational models induced by such a chamber decomposition.*

In studying the models of a given algebraic variety, the first question we may ask is, how many are there? The Mori chamber decomposition of the cone of effective divisors has the information about the number of models a given variety may have. However, it is typically very difficult to compute. In the case of complete quadric surfaces X_3, we can exhibit such a decomposition explicitly by analyzing the stable base locus of each effective divisor $D \in \mathrm{Eff}(X_3)$. The relation between the Mori chambers and the stable base locus of a divisor has been studied in detail in [ELMMP1], [ELMMP2]. Hence, Theorem A asserts, in particular, that there are finitely many Mori chambers for the space X_3, which implies there is a finite number of models of this space. This is an important finiteness property of a so-called Mori dream space. In general, we can show there is a finite number of Mori chambers by showing that the space of complete $(n-1)$-quadrics X_n is a Fano variety, hence a Mori dream space (Corollary 3) by [BCHM].

We can say more about the birational models of X_3. Each of them can be interpreted as a moduli space of objects described in terms of classical geometry. For example, a smooth quadric surface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ contains two rulings *i.e.*, two 1-parameter families of lines. It turns out that the smooth quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ and the family of lines contained in it determine each other. This implies that we get a rational map from the space of complete 2-quadrics to the space of (flat) families of lines contained in quadrics. This map is not an isomorphism; it induces a *flip*.

The proof of Theorem A will rely on the relation between $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{G}(1,3),2)$, the Kontsevich moduli space of stable maps, and the space of complete 2-quadrics. The former space is a two-fold ramified cover of the latter (Lemma 21). The birational geometry of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{G}(1,3),2)$ has been analyzed by Chen and Coskun [CC10].

In higher dimensions, we start our study of the birational geometry of the space of complete $(n-1)$-quadrics X_n by exhibiting generators of $\mathrm{Eff}(X_n)$ and $\mathrm{Nef}(X_n)$, the cone of effective and nef divisors, respectively (Theorem B). Using Theorem A as a guiding example, we describe a moduli structure on $X_n(H_k)$, the models induced by the generators of the nef cone (Theorem C).

Now, let us define the space X_n. Originally, the following definition is a Theorem in [Vai82]. We will use this result as a definition of X_n because it is more suitable for the purposes of the present paper. We will present the historically accurate definition of X_n in Section 6.

Let $\mathbb{P}^N$, where $N = \binom{n+2}{2} - 1$, be the space parametrizing quadric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$. We can stratify $\mathbb{P}^N$ by the rank of the quadric hypersurfaces,

$$\Phi_1 \subset \cdots \subset \Phi_{n-1} \subset \Phi_n \subset \mathbb{P}^N$$

where Φ_i denotes the locus of quadrics of rank at most i. The space of complete quadrics is obtained as a sequence of blowups of $\mathbb{P}^N$ along all the Φ_i's, for $i \leq n-1$.

Definition 1 (Vainsencher, [Vai82])**.** Let $\mathbb{P}^N = X(0)$, and $X(k) = Bl_{\tilde{\Phi}_k} X(k-1)$, where $\tilde{\Phi}_k$ denotes the strict transform of the locus of quadrics of rank at most k. The space of complete $(n-1)$-quadrics is defined as $X_n = Bl_{\tilde{\Phi}_{n-1}} X(n-2)$.

It turns out that the exceptional divisors in the previous definition, which we denote by E_j for $1 \leq j \leq n$, generate the effective cone $\mathrm{Eff}(X_n)$. Moreover, points along such E_j's parametrize quadrics which are marked over its singular locus by another quadric. In other words, if $Q \in X_n$ is a generic complete quadric of rank k, then $Q = (Q', q)$ where Q' is a quadric hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of rank k, and q is a smooth quadric over $Sing(Q') \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-k}$. In this case, Q is in the boundary divisor E_k. The divisor E_n is the strict transform of $\Phi_n \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, hence, $Q \in E_n$ represents a quadric of rank n.

Example: Consider X_3, the space of complete 2-quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^3$. A quadric of rank one, whose marking consists of a double line with two marked points, can be written $Q = (x_0^2, x_1^2, (ax_2 + bx_3)^2) \in E_1$. We will study this space in more detail later.

Let us introduce generators for the nef divisors whose mention can already be found in Schubert [Sch79].

Definition 2. Let $H_i \subset X_n$ denote the closure in X_n of the subvariety parametrizing smooth quadric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$ which are tangent to a fixed linear subspace of dimension $i-1$.

Theorem B. *Let X_n be the space of complete $(n-1)$-quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^n$. The cone of effective divisors on X_n is generated by boundary divisors* $\mathrm{Eff}(X_n) = \langle E_1, \ldots, E_n \rangle$. *Furthermore, the nef cone is generated by* $\mathrm{Nef}(X_n) = \langle H_1, \ldots, H_n \rangle$.

This result allows us to see that X_n is a Fano variety. Hence, X_n is a Mori dream space by [BCHM]. In particular, $N^1(X_n) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathrm{Pic}(X_n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Let us define the space which carries the desired moduli structure of $X_n(H_k)$, the models induced by the generators of the nef cone.

The second order Chow variety $\mathbf{Chow}_2(k-1, X_n)$ parametrizes tangent $(k-1)$-planes to complete $(n-1)$-quadrics. In other words, if $Q \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ is a smooth quadric hypersurface, then the tangent k-planes to Q are parametrized by the Chow form $CF_Q(k) \subset \mathbb{G}(k, n)$, which is a divisor of degree two. Thus, $[CF_Q(k)]$ is an element in the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}}(2)| \subset \mathbb{P}(S^2(\wedge^k V))$. The association $Q \mapsto [CF_Q(k)]$ induces a birational morphism ([Ber])

$$\rho_k : X_n \to \mathbb{P}(S^2(\wedge^k V)) \ .$$

We define the second order Chow variety $\mathbf{Chow}_2(k-1, X_n)$ as the image of ρ_k.

Theorem C. *Let X_n be the space of complete $(n-1)$-quadrics. The birational model $X_n(H_k)$, induced by any generator of the nef cone* $\mathrm{Nef}(X_n)$*, is isomorphic to the normalization of* $\mathbf{Chow}_2^{\nu}(k-1, X_n)$.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 studies higher dimensional quadrics. It contains the proofs of Theorem B and Theorem C. From Section 2 onwards, we focus on the case of surfaces. Section 2 studies divisors on the space of complete quadric surfaces X_3. Section 3 contains the stable base locus decomposition of $\mathrm{Eff}(X_3)$. Section 4 describes some birational models that appear in Theorem A. Section 5 contains the proof of Theorem A. We include a final section containing historical remarks in which we describe how this paper unifies results by J.G. Semple [Sem48], [Sem52] using the MMP. We also state a connection of this work with representation theory and GIT-quotients which we would like to explore in the future. We work over the field of complex numbers throughout.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my gratitude to my advisor Izzet Coskun for his guidance and encouragement over the years. I want to thank Dawei Chen for relevant conversations about this work. Thanks to Kevin Whyte and Artie Prendergast-Smith for useful comments about this project, and Francesco Cavazzani for pointing out the precise reference which relates this work to GIT-quotients. Many thanks to Christopher Gomes for improving the language of this work.

1. Higher dimensional complete quadrics

In this section, we prove Theorem B and Theorem C. We denote by H_i, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, the closure in X_n of the smooth quadrics tangent to a fixed $(i-1)$-plane $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. We refer the reader to [Tho96] for a comprehensive description of the tangency properties of complete quadrics and linear subspaces.

Proof of Theorem B. We make use of the following strategy. Let $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X_n)$ be the dual cone of $\mathrm{Nef}(X_n)$; this is the Mori cone of effective curves. If the divisors H_i are basepoint-free, then $\langle H_1, \ldots, H_n \rangle \subset \mathrm{Nef}(X_n)$. The opposite containment is equivalent to $\langle H_1, \ldots, H_n \rangle^\vee \subset \mathrm{Nef}(X_n)^\vee \cong \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X_n)$. We show this latter statement holds by exhibiting that the dual curves to $\langle H_1, \ldots, H_n \rangle$ are effective curves.

The divisors H_i are basepoint-free. In other words, given Λ_i, a linear subspace of dimension $i-1$, and $Q \in X_n$ such that $H_i(\Lambda_i)$ vanishes on Q, then we can find a distinct Λ_i' such that $H_i(\Lambda_i')$ does not vanish on Q. Indeed, if Q is smooth or $\dim Sing(Q) < \mathrm{codim}\Lambda_i$, then it is clear. If $\dim Sing(Q) \geq \mathrm{codim}\Lambda_i$, then Λ_i is tangent to the complete quadric $Q = (Q', q)$ as long as the restriction $\Lambda_i|_{Sing(Q)}$ is tangent to the marking-quadric q [Tho96]. If the marking-quadric q is smooth, then $H_i(\Lambda_i')$ does not vanish on Q if the restriction $\Lambda_i'|_{Sing(Q)}$ is not tangent to q. In case the marking-quadric q is singular, we repeat the previous argument for the restriction $\Lambda_i|_{Sing(q)}$. So, inductively, we can find Λ_i' such that the complete quadric Q is not tangent to Λ_i' and consequently $H_i(\Lambda_i')$ does not vanish on Q. Hence, H_i is basepoint-free and $\langle H_1, \ldots, H_n \rangle \subset \mathrm{Nef}(X_n)$.

Let us show the opposite containment. Consider the following flag,

$$\mathbf{Fl}_\circ = \{pt = F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_{n+1} = \mathbb{P}^n\} ,$$

where each F_i stands for a linear subspace of dimension $i-1$ contained in F_{i+1}. Observe that the most singular complete quadric $Q \in X_n$ can be interpreted as the flag $\mathbf{Fl}_\circ$ where the nested marking quadrics all have rank 1. Hence, by letting the subspace F_i vary inside F_{i+1} such that it contains F_{i-1}, we get a curve $\mathbf{Fl}_i \subset X_n$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Observe that $\mathbf{Fl}_j.H_i = \delta_{ij}$. This implies that the curves

$\langle \mathbf{Fl}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{Fl}_n \rangle$ span the dual cone to $\langle H_1, \ldots, H_n \rangle$. Since the $\mathbf{Fl}_i$ are effective, the result follows.

Let us now prove the claim about the effective cone. It is clear that $\langle E_1, \ldots E_n \rangle \subset \mathrm{Eff}(X_n)$. To show that this is an equality, we consider a general effective divisor D and show that it can be written as a linear combination $D = a_1E_1 + \cdots + a_nE_n$, where $a_i \geq 0$ for all i. In order to do that, consider the following curves which sweep out each boundary divisor E_k, for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Let us denote by B_k the 1-parameter family of complete quadrics $Q = (Q', q) \in E_k$, such that Q' is fixed and the marking quadric $q \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-k} \cong Sing(Q')$ varies in a general pencil of dual quadrics. The following intersection numbers hold,

$$B_k.E_k \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_k.E_{k+1} > 0 \ , \tag{1}$$

and zero otherwise. In fact, the number $B_k.E_{k+1} = n-k+1$, as it is the number of times the marking quadric q becomes singular. On the other hand, observe that $B_k \subset E_k$, and that the normal bundle $N_{E_k/X_n} \cong \mathcal{O}_{E_k}(-1)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Thus, $B_k.E_k = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{E_k}(-1)|_{B_k}) = -(n-k)$.

Let $D = a_1E_1 + \cdots + a_nE_n$ be a general effective divisor. Then, it does not contain any of the curves B_k. This means that $B_k.D \geq 0$, and by (1), we have that $(n-k)a_k \leq (n-k+1)a_{k+1}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. This implies that

$$a_1 \leq \tfrac{n}{n-1}a_2 \leq \ldots \leq na_n \ .$$

By intersecting D with the pullback to X_n of a general pencil in $\mathbb{P}^{N*}$, we get that $0 \leq a_1$. □

The following corollary tells us that the MMP yields finitely many models when applied to the space X_n.

Corollary 3. *The space X_n of complete $(n-1)$-quadrics is a Fano variety, hence a Mori dream space.*

Proof. By definition, one can compute the canonical class of X_n recursively as follows. Recall our notation $X(1) \cong Bl_{\Phi_1}(\mathbb{P}^N)$, $X(2) \cong Bl_{\tilde{\Phi}_2}X(1)$, and so on. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{X_n} &= K_{X(n-2)} + 2E_{n-1}, \\ &\vdots \\ K_{X(i)} &= K_{X(i-1)} + (\Gamma_i - 1)E_i, \\ &\vdots \\ K_{X(1)} &= K_{\mathbb{P}^N} + (\Gamma_1 - 1)E_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $N = \binom{n+2}{2} - 1$, and Γ_i denotes the codimension of the locus $\Phi_i \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. From [DCP80, page 38], we have that $E_i = 2H_i - H_{i-1} - H_{i+1}$, for $1 < i < n$. Then, we can write the canonical class K_{X_n} in terms of the generators of the nef cone,

$$K_{X_n} = -2H_1 - H_2 - \cdots - H_{n-1} - 2H_n \ .$$

Hence, X_n is Fano by Theorem B, and a Mori dream space by [BCHM]. □

Since the entries of $\wedge^k Q \in \mathbb{P}(S^2(\wedge^k V))$ are the $(k \times k)$-minors of Q, it follows that the birational morphism $\rho_k : X_n \to \mathbb{P}(S^2(\wedge^k V))$ is a bijection over the locus of non-singular quadrics. Indeed, given two non-singular matrices A and B, if each of the respective $(k \times k)$-minors of A and B are equal, then $A = \lambda B$ for some non-zero scalar λ.

Definition 4. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a smooth quadric hypersurface. The second order Chow form $CF_Q(k) \in \mathbb{P}H^0((\mathbb{G}(k-1,n), \mathcal{O}(2)) \subset \mathbb{P}(S^2(\wedge^k V))$ parametrizes tangent $(k-1)$-planes to Q.

Lemma 5. *Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a smooth quadric hypersurface. The second order Chow form $CF_Q(k) = \wedge^k Q$ is equal to the k-th wedge of Q.*

Proof. Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a $(k-1)$-plane, and $q = Q|_L$ be the restriction of Q to L. If L is not contained in Q, then the $(k-1)$-plane L is tangent to Q if q is singular, which is equivalent to $\det q = 0$. Observe that $L \in \mathbb{G}(k-1,n)$ belongs to the zero locus of the Chow form $CF_Q(k)$ if and only if L belongs to the zero locus of the quadric $\wedge^k Q$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} L^t(\wedge^k Q)L &= \wedge^k (L^t Q L) \\ &= \wedge^k q \\ &= \det(q) \ . \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\det q = 0$ if and only if L is in the zero locus of $\wedge^k Q$. Hence, $CF_Q(k)$ and $\wedge^k Q$ define the same divisor on $\mathbb{G}(k-1,n)$. □

Lemma 5 implies that the image of the morphism $\rho_k : X_n \to \mathbb{P}(S^2(\wedge^k V))$ carries a moduli interpretation: it parametrizes tangent $(k-1)$-planes to complete quadrics. We define the second order Chow variety $\mathbf{Chow}_2(k-1, X_n)$ as the image of $\rho_k(X_n) \subset \mathbb{P}(S^2(\wedge^k V))$.

Theorem C. *Let H_k be a generator of $\mathrm{Nef}(X_n)$, the nef cone of X_n. For each $1 \le k \le n$, the model $X_n(H_k)$ is isomorphic to the normalization of the second order Chow variety,*

$$X_n(H_k) = \mathrm{Proj}\left(\bigoplus_{m \ge 0} H^0(X_n, mH_k)\right) \cong \mathbf{Chow}_2(k-1, X_n)^\nu.$$

Proof. In order to establish that $X_n(H_k) \cong \rho_k(X_n)^\nu$, it suffices to show that both ρ_k and the induced map $\phi_{H_k} : X_n \to X_n(H_k)$ contract the same extremal rays in $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X_n)$ (See, [Laz04] for a proof of this fact). By Theorem B, we know that ϕ_{H_k} contracts the classes $\mathbf{Fl}_j$ for $j \neq k$, which generate the Mori cone of curves $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X_n)$. In order to show that the morphism ρ_k contracts those same curve classes, we use a parametric representation of them. Let us describe such a parametrization.

The following description follows closely [Sem52] and [Tyr56]. We write a complete quadric as $Q = M^t q M$, where the matrix $M = (M_{ij})$ has 1's along the diagonal, and the entries $M_{k,k+1} = t_k$ are affine parameters above the diagonal, and zero otherwise. For example, M has the following form in the case $n = 3$,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & t_2 & 0 \\ & & 1 & t_3 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $q = [1, q_1, q_1 q_2, \ldots, q_1 \cdots q_n]$ is a diagonal matrix, where q_j are affine parameters. Observe that the matrix M, as described above, and $q_r = 0$, for $1 \le r \le n$, give rise to the complete quadric

$$Q = M^t q M = ((x_0 + t_1 x_1)^2, (x_1 + t_2 x_2)^2, \ldots, (x_{n-1} + t_n x_n)^2),$$

where the marking has rank 1 (Section 6 further clarifies this notation).

We obtain a parametrization of the representatives for the curve classes $\mathbf{F}_j \in \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X_n)$, when $q_1 = \cdots = q_n = 0$ and $t_k = 0$ for all $k \neq j$ [Tyr56]. Hence, the parameter t_j in the expression of M, is an affine parameter of the curve $\mathbf{Fl}_j$.

In order to conclude that ρ_k contracts $\mathbf{Fl}_j$ for $j \neq k$, it suffices to show that if $t_l = 0$, for $l \neq j$ (*i.e.*, only t_j, the parameter of F_j, survives), then the form $\wedge^k Q = \wedge^k M^t (\wedge^k q) \wedge^k M$ is constant. For example, consider $n = 3$. From the following matrix,

$$\wedge^2 Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_2 & 0 & t_1 t_2 & 0 & 0 \\ t_2 & 1 & 0 & t_1 t_2^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t_1 t_2 & t_1 t_2^2 & 0 & t_1^2 t_2^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

it follows that ρ_2 contracts $\mathbf{F}_1 = \{t_2 = t_3 = 0\}$ and $\mathbf{F}_3 = \{t_1 = t_2 = 0\}$. The fact that $q = [1, 0, \ldots, 0]$ simplifies greatly the computation of $\wedge^k Q$ in general. We omit the details since no difficulty arises. This completes the proof. □

Remark. Following the historically accurate definition of X_n (see, Section 6), the morphisms ρ_k are very natural projection maps. A good deal of the rest of the paper is devoted to fully understanding all of the maps ρ_k in the case $n = 3$.

2. Divisors on the space of complete 2-quadrics

J.G. Semple in [Sem48], [Sem52] studied in detail X_3, the space of complete quadric surfaces. The rest of the paper is devoted to further studying this space by applying the Minimal Model Program. Indeed, we will interpret the spaces studied by Semple as models $X_3(D) = \mathrm{Proj}(\oplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X_3, mD))$, where D lies in the cone $\mathrm{Nef}(X_3)$. This section contains the preliminaries needed to show more; we aim to describe all the models $X_3(D)$, where D lies in a larger cone; the movable cone $\mathrm{Mov}(X_3)$ (Definition 11). Moreover, we exhibit some of these models as moduli spaces.

Let Φ_2 denote the locus of symmetric (3×3)-matrices of rank at most two. By definition, the space $X_3 \cong Bl_{\tilde{\Phi}_2} X(1)$, where $X(1)$ is a blowup of $\mathbb{P}^9$ along Φ_1, the locus of symmetric matrices of rank at most one. We can also obtain X_3 by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^{9*}$, the space of dual quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^3$, in a similar manner. Let us interpret these spaces as models of X_3.

The divisor classes H_i in $\mathrm{Pic}(X_3)$, as in Definition (2), coincide with the class of the strict transform of a generator of the ideal of $\Phi_i \subset \mathbb{P}^9$. Indeed, let us denote by $p : X_3 \to \mathbb{P}^9$ the blowup map, clearly $p^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^9}(1)) = H_1$. Moreover, let h_1 and h_2 be two generators of the ideals $I(\Phi_1)$ and $I(\Phi_2)$, respectively. Since

$$p^*([h_1]) = 2H_1 - E_1,$$
$$p^*([h_2]) = 3H_1 - 2E_1 - E_2,$$

in $\mathrm{Pic}(X_3)$, we can compare these classes with those of H_2 and H_3.

Lemma 6. *Let H_2, H_3 be the divisors as in Definition 2. Their classes in* $\mathrm{Pic}(X_3)$ *are*

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &= 2H_1 - E_1, \\ H_3 &= 3H_1 - 2E_1 - E_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Proof. Let $G, C_2, L_2 \subset X_3$ be the following test curves. The curve G stands for a general pencil. C_2 is defined by the product of a fixed plane P_0 and a pencil of planes P_t such that $C_2 = \{P_0 P_t\}$. The curve L_2 is defined by fixing two planes whose intersection is the line l and letting one of the two marked points on l vary.

The following numbers determine the class of H_i for $i = 2, 3$.

$$\begin{array}{lll} G.H_1 = 1 & C_2.H_1 = 1 & L_2.H_1 = 0 \\ G.H_2 = 2 & C_2.H_2 = 0 & L_2.H_2 = 0 \\ G.H_3 = 3 & C_2.H_3 = 0 & L_2.H_3 = 1 \\ G.E_1 = 0 & C_2.E_1 = 2 & L_2.E_1 = 0 \\ G.E_2 = 0 & C_2.E_2 = -1 & L_2.E_2 = -1 \end{array}$$

The normal bundle $N_{E_2 \setminus X_3} \cong \mathcal{O}_{E_2}(-1)$. Then, the restriction to the generic line $L_2 \subset E_2$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, hence $L_2.E_2 = -1$. Similarly $C_2.E_2 = -1$. If we write $H_i = aH_1 + bE_2 + cE_3$ for $i = 2, 3$, and use the test curves G, C_2, L_2 to find the values of a, b, c, the result follows. □

The following proposition complements Theorem A; see diagram 8. We will denote by H_1 the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^9}(1)$, and H_2 the pull-back of a generator of the ideal $I(\Phi_1)$.

Proposition 7. *Let* $X(1) = Bl_{\Phi_1}\mathbb{P}^9$. *Then,* $\mathrm{Nef}(X(1)) \cong \langle H_1, H_2 \rangle$.

Proof. H_1 is clearly an extremal ray of the nef cone. The divisor H_2 is basepoint-free by definition and we have that $H_2.S = 0$, where S denotes a pencil $Q_1 + tQ_1'$ of quadrics of rank 1. Since the curve S sweeps out the secant variety $Sec(\Phi_1) = \Phi_2$, then H_2 induces a small contraction $\phi_1 : X(1) \to Z$. □

The canonical divisor $K_{X(1)} = -10H_1 - 5E_1 = -5H_2$. Hence, $K_{X(1)}.S = 0$, and $X(1)$ is a flop of a space $Y(1)$ over Z in the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X_3 & & \\ & \swarrow^{\pi_1} & & \searrow^{\pi_2} & \\ & X(1) & \overset{\text{flop}}{\dashrightarrow} & Y(1) & \\ \swarrow & & \swarrow^{\phi_1} \quad \searrow^{\phi_2} & & \searrow \\ \mathbb{P}^9 & & Z & & \mathbb{P}^{9*} \end{array} \tag{3}$$

where $X(1)$ as above, and $Y(1) = Bl_{\Phi_1}\mathbb{P}^{9*}$. The morphism ϕ_1 is induced by the sub-linear series of (2×2)-minors cutting out $\Phi_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ scheme-theoretically. Observe that the morphisms both π_1 and π_2 contract the divisor E_2.

The following divisor class, and the model induced by it, was not analyzed in [Sem52]. This constitutes new information about the birational geometry of X_3.

Definition 8. Let P denote the closure in X_3 of smooth quadrics Q such that the induced 2-plane $\Lambda_Q \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ by one of the rulings of Q has a non-empty intersection with a fixed 2-plane in the Plücker embedding of $\mathbb{G}(1, 3)$.

Lemma 9. *The divisor class of* P *is*

$$[P] = 2(2H_1 - H_2 + 2H_3) \ .$$

Proof. The assertion follows from the following intersection numbers

$$P.C_1^* = 0, \quad P.R_2 = 4, \quad P.C_3 = 0,$$

where the curves C_1^*, R_2, C_3 are defined as follows. The curve C_1^* is a double plane with a pencil of dual conics on it, R_2 denotes the strict transform to X_3 of the pencil $Q_2 + \lambda Q_2'$, where Q_2 and Q_2' denote quadrics of rank 2 in $\mathbb{P}^3$, and the curve class C_3 is defined by a cone over a general pencil of conics.

Let us compute the intersection number $P.R_2$. Since $R_2.E_2 = 2$ and $R_2.E_1 = R_2.E_3 = 0$, then it induces a 2-fold cover of curves $\gamma(\lambda) \to R_2(\lambda)$, where $\gamma(\lambda)$ represents the curve of 2-planes induced by the pencil R_2. Indeed, for each λ, the lines contained in the complete quadric $Q(\lambda) \in R_2(\lambda)$ form two curves C_λ, C_λ' in the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$. This is the Fano variety of lines $F_1(Q)$ (or a flat limit of it). Each such curve C_λ is contained in a unique 2-plane $\Lambda_{C_\lambda} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$. Consequently, $P.R_2 = deg(\gamma)$ as a subvariety of $\mathbb{G}(2,5)$. On the other hand, the class of the surface S that a curve C_λ sweeps out in the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$ (as we vary λ), is $[S] = \sigma_2 + \sigma_{1,1} \in A_2(\mathbb{G}(1,3))$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P.R_2 &= \deg \gamma && \text{in } \mathbb{G}(2,5) \\ &= 2S.\sigma_1^2 \\ &= 2(\sigma_{1,1} + \sigma_2)\sigma_1^2 && \text{in } \mathbb{G}(1,3) \\ &= 4 \end{aligned}$$

The numbers $P.C_1^* = 0$ and $C_3.P = 0$ follow from the fact that all the conics induced by them lie in a fixed plane. The result follows. □

3. Stable Base Locus Decomposition

Two divisors D_1, D_2 induce Mori equivalent models $X(D_1), X(D_2)$ as long as they belong to the same Mori chamber. Thus, we can partition the cone $\mathrm{Eff}(X)$ into Mori chambers by looking at the models $X(D)$. Typically, Mori chambers are very difficult to compute. In order to describe the Mori chamber decomposition of $\mathrm{Eff}(X)$ we use the fact that the Mori chambers can be identified by looking at the stable base locus of the respective divisors. This relation among Mori chambers and the stable base locus decomposition has been studied in [ELMMP1, ELMMP2]. In our case, there will be finitely many chambers in $\mathrm{Eff}(X_3)$ as the space of complete quadric surfaces is a Mori dream space.

Divisors D for which the map $\phi_D : X \to X(D)$ is an isomorphism in codimension one are called small modifications of X, and are of special importance: they give rise to divisorial contractions and flips of X. Such divisors are called movable and they form the so-called movable cone $\mathrm{Mov}(X)$ (Definition 11). We will focus on studying models $X_3(D)$, where D is movable.

In this section, we compute the base locus decomposition of $\mathrm{Eff}(X_3)$. In order to do that, we need curve classes and their intersection numbers with divisors. We summarize such intersection numbers in the following table, and define the curve classes immediately after.

Curve class	$C.H_1$	$C.H_2$	$C.H_3$	$C.E_1$	$C.E_2$	$C.E_3$	Deformation cover
G	1	2	3	0	0	4	X_3
G^*	3	2	1	4	0	0	X_3
C_1	0	1	2	-1	0	3	E_1
C_1^*	0	2	1	-2	3	0	E_1
C_2	1	0	0	2	-1	0	E_2
C_3	1	2	0	0	3	-2	E_3
$C_{1,2}$	0	1	0	-1	2	-1	$E_1 \cap E_3$
L_2	0	0	1	0	-1	2	E_2

The curve class G (respectively, G^*) stands for the strict transform to X_3 of a general pencil in $\mathbb{P}^9$ (respectively, $\mathbb{P}^{9*}$). The class of C_1 (respectively, C_1^*) is defined by considering a general pencil of conics (respectively, dual conics) on a fixed double plane. The curve class C_2 is defined as the product of a fixed plane P_0 and a pencil of planes P_t such that the marking is fixed. The class C_3 consists of the cone over the pencil of conics in a fixed plane. The curve $C_{1,2}$ consists of a pencil of rank 2 conics on a fixed double plane. Such a pencil of conics is a fixed line l_0 and a pencil of lines whose base-locus is on l_0. Similarly, the curve L_2 is defined by fixing two planes whose intersection is the line l and letting one of the two marked points on l vary.

Notation. We denote by $c(H_1, \overline{P})$ the positive linear combinations $aH_1 + bP$ such that $0 \leq a$ and $0 < b$.

Proposition 10. *The stable base locus decomposition partitions the effective cone of X_3 into the following chambers:*

1. *In the closed cone spanned by non-negative linear combinations of $\langle H_1, H_2, H_3\rangle$, the stable base locus is empty.*
2. *In the domain spanned by positive linear combinations of $\langle H_1, H_3, P\rangle$ along with the set $c(H_1, \overline{P}) \cup c(H_3, \overline{P})$, the stable base locus is $E_1 \cap E_3$ and consists of double planes marked with a singular conic of rank 2.*
3. *In the domain spanned by positive linear combinations of $\langle H_3, E_3, P\rangle$ along with $c(H_3, \overline{E}_3) \cup c(P, \overline{E}_3)$, the stable base locus consists of the divisor E_3.*
4. *In the domain spanned by positive linear combinations of $\langle H_1, E_1, P\rangle$ along with $c(H_1, \overline{E}_1) \cup c(P, \overline{E}_1)$, the stable base locus consists of the divisor E_1.*
5. *In the domain spanned by positive linear combinations of $\langle P, E_1, E_3\rangle$ along with $c(E_1, E_3)$, the stable base locus consists of the union $E_1 \cup E_3$.*
6. *In the domain spanned by positive linear combinations of $\langle H_3, E_2, E_3\rangle$ along with $c(E_2, E_3)$, the stable base locus consists of the union $E_2 \cup E_3$.*
7. *In the domain spanned by positive linear combinations of $\langle H_1, E_1, E_2\rangle$ along with $c(E_1, E_2)$, the stable base locus consists of the union $E_1 \cup E_2$.*
8. *In the domain bounded by H_1, H_2, H_3 and E_2 along with $c(H_1, \overline{E}_2) \cup c(H_3, \overline{E}_2)$, the stable base locus consists of the divisor E_2.*



FIGURE 1. Stable base locus decomposition of $\mathrm{Eff}(X_3)$.

Proof. We will make use of the symmetry induced by the map $\xi : X_3 \to X_3$ defined by sending the quadric Q to $\wedge^3 Q$,

$$\xi : Q \longmapsto \wedge^3 Q \ .$$

Note that ξ maps E_1 (respectively, H_1) to E_3 (respectively, H_3) and keeps E_2 (respectively, H_2) fixed. The stable base locus of the divisor $\xi^*(D)$ is equal to the inverse image under ξ of the stable base locus of D. The symmetry given by ξ will simplify our calculations.

By Theorem B, any divisor contained in the closed cone generated by H_1, H_2 and H_3 is basepoint-free, hence its (stable) base locus is empty.

Let D be a general divisor $D = aH_1 + bH_2 + cH_3$. Consider the curves C_1 and C_3 as defined above. Then,

$$C_1.D = b + 2c, \qquad C_3.D = a + 2b \ . \tag{4}$$

Since the curve C_1 (respectively, C_3) covers E_1 (respectively, E_3), it follows that E_1 (respectively, E_3) is in the base locus of any divisor D satisfying $b + 2c < 0$ (respectively, $a + 2b < 0$).

On the other hand, ξ maps the plane $b + 2c = 0$ to the plane $b + 2a = 0$. Consequently, E_3 is in the base locus of any divisor satisfying $b + 2a < 0$. Similarly, E_1 is in the base locus of the linear system $|D|$ if $c + 2b < 0$. We conclude that E_1 is in the base locus of any divisor contained in the region bounded by E_1, E_2, H_1 and E_3. Similarly, E_3 is in the base locus of any divisor contained in the region bounded by E_3, E_2, H_3 and E_1.

Let the curve classes C_2 and L_2 be as defined above. We have that,

$$C_2.D = a, \qquad L_2.D = c \ . \tag{5}$$

Since both the curves C_2 and L_2 cover the divisor E_2, then E_2 is in the base locus of any divisor D satisfying $a < 0$ as well as $c < 0$. The inequality $a < 0$, tells us the union $E_2 \cup E_3$ is in the base locus of any divisor in the region spanned by positive

linear combinations of $\langle E_3, H_3, E_2\rangle$ along with the set $c(E_3, \overline{E}_2) \cup c(H_3, \overline{E}_2)$. Similarly, the union $E_2 \cup E_1$ is in the base locus of any divisor in the region spanned by positive linear combinations of $\langle E_1, E_2, H_1\rangle$ along with the set $c(E_1, \overline{E}_2) \cup c(H_1, \overline{E}_2)$. By intersecting these two regions, the union $E_3 \cup E_1$ is in the base locus of any divisor in the region spanned by positive linear combinations of $\langle E_1, P, E_3\rangle$ along with the set $c(E_1, E_3)$.

By the equation (5) above, E_3 is in the base locus of any divisor in the region spanned by positive linear combinations of E_3, H_3 and P, along with $c(P, \overline{E}_3) \cup c(H_3, \overline{E}_3)$. Symmetry implies that E_1 is in the base locus in the region spanned by positive linear combinations of P, H_1 and E_1 along with $c(P, \overline{E}_1) \cup c(H_1, \overline{E}_1)$.

Let $C_{1,2}$ be the curve as defined above. We have that

$$C_{1,2}.D = b \ .$$

Since the deformations of $C_{1,2}$ cover the intersection $E_1 \cap E_3$, this locus $E_1 \cap E_3$ is in the base locus of any divisor contained in the region bounded by H_1, H_3 P and $c(H_1, \overline{P}) \cup c(H_3, \overline{P})$. Finally, E_2 is in the base locus for any divisor D in the region bounded by H_1, H_2, H_3 and E_2. This is the description of the base locus decomposition of $\mathrm{Eff}(X_3)$.

In order to finish the proof, we need to show that the stable base locus does not get any bigger than our description of it above.

(i) The divisors H_1, H_2, H_3 are basepoint-free by Theorem B. Hence, for divisors contained in the closed cone generated by H_1, H_2, H_3 the base locus is empty.

(ii) Since H_1 and H_3 are basepoint-free, it follows that for any divisor D in the interior of the cone generated by H_1, H_2 and P, the base locus of the linear system $|D|$ is contained in that of $|P|$. The same applies for the walls $c(H_1, \overline{P})$ and $c(H_3, \overline{P})$. Observe that the base locus of $|P|$ is the locus in X_3 parametrizing those complete quadric surfaces whose rulings induce a double line with two marked points in $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$ (Proposition 23) . Indeed, for any complete quadric Q inducing either rank 3 or 2 conics in $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$, there is a unique 2-plane in $\mathbb{P}^5$ containing them. The indeterminacy of $|P|$ does not get bigger because for any pair of 2-planes Λ_i $(i = 1, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$, we can find another 2-plane missing them both. It follows that for Q a quadric defining a 2-plane $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, there is a $D \in |P|$, such that D does not vanish at Q. We conclude that the quadrics inducing double lines with two marked points in $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$ are in the base locus of P *i.e.*, $E_1 \cap E_3$.

(iii-iv) Since P can be written as $P = E_3 + 2H_1 = E_1 + 2H_3$, it follows that for any divisor D contained in the interior of the cone generated by E_3, H_3 and P or along the wall $c(H_3, \overline{P})$, the base locus of D must be contained in E_3. Similarly, for any divisor D contained in the interior of the cone of E_1, H_1 and P or along the walls $c(H_1, \overline{P})$, the base locus of D must be contained in E_1.

(v) By the previous argument, for any divisor D in the interior of the cone $\langle E_1, E_3, P\rangle$, its base locus must be contained in $E_1 \cup E_3$.

(vi-vii) Follows easily from what we said above.

(viii) Any divisor D in the interior of the cone generated by H_1, H_3 and E_2 the base locus of D must be contained in E_2. However, since we know the nef cone, then the base locus of any divisor in the complement of nef cone must be contained in E_2. This completes the proof. □

Definition 11. Let Y be a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$. The movable cone $\overline{\mathrm{Mov}}(Y) \subset N^1(Y)$ is the closure of the cone generated by classes of effective Cartier

divisors L such that the base locus of $|L|$ has codimension at least two. We say that a divisor is movable if its numerical class lies in $\overline{\mathrm{Mov}}(Y)$.

Corollary 12. *The movable cone* $\mathrm{Mov}(X_3)$ *of* X_3 *is the closed cone spanned by non-negative linear combinations of* H_1, H_2, H_3 *and* P.

4. Birational Models of complete quadric surfaces

In this section we describe some birational models of the space X_3. We present the results very explicitly at the risk of making proofs longer than optimal. This approach will exhibit the moduli structure on the birational models constructed.

Second Order Chow Variety $\mathbf{Chow}_2(1, X_3)$. We define the second order Chow variety, $\mathbf{Chow}_2(1, X_3)$, as the parameter space of tangent lines to complete quadric surfaces. More precisely, let $Q \in X_3$ be a smooth complete quadric and let TQ denote the set of tangent lines to it in the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}(1, 3)$. Since the class $[TQ] = 2\sigma_1 \in A^1(\mathbb{G})$, it follows that the subvariety TQ is defined by an element in the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}}(2)|$. Consequently, we have a map $Q \mapsto TQ \in \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{G}, \mathcal{O}(2)) \cong \mathbb{P}^{19}$. The subvariety TQ is the so-called quadric line-complex.

Lemma 13. *Let* $X_3^\circ \subset X_3$ *be the open subset parameterizing smooth quadric surfaces. Then, we have an embedding*

$$\phi : X_3^\circ \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{G}, \mathcal{O}(2)) \cong \mathbb{P}^{19}$$

by mapping a smooth quadric $Q \mapsto TQ$ *to its associated degree* 2 *hypersurface* $TQ \subset \mathbb{G}(1, 3)$.

Proof. Let Q and Q' two distinct smooth quadrics. Then there exists a point $x \in Q$ which is not in Q'. The tangent space T_xQ contains a 1-parameter family of lines tangent to Q among which only 2 are also tangent to Q'. This says that $TQ \neq TQ'$ as desired. □

Proposition 14. *The map* ϕ *extends to a morphism* $\rho_2 : X_3 \to \mathbf{Chow}_2(1, X_3)$.

Proof. By Serre's criteria [Eis95], the rational map ϕ extends to a complement of a subset of codimension 2 in X_3. Furthermore, the space X_3 is stratified by SL_4-orbits as follows: there is an open dense orbit X_3°, codimension 1 and 2 orbits E_i° and $E_i^\circ \cap E_j^\circ$ $(i \neq j)$ respectively, and a unique closed orbit $E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3$. Therefore, the result follows if the map ϕ extends to each of the E_i's, *i.e.*, $\phi(E_i)$ is well-defined for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Let us show that the map $\phi : (X_3^\circ) \to \mathbb{P}^{19}$ extends to the divisor E_1 by performing the explicit computation. First, we exhibit the extension of the map ϕ to the open $SL_4\mathbb{C}$-orbit E_1°.

To simplify the computations, let us assume $Q_t \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is the family $Q_t = \{x^2 + t(ay^2 + byz + cyw + dz^2 + ezw + f^2w^2) = 0\}$. The limit as $t \to 0$, is a complete quadric $(Q_0, q[y : z : w]) \in E_1$.

We proceed by definition in order to compute the Chow form TQ_t. A line in $l \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is the image of the morphism

$$[\alpha, \beta] \xmapsto{g} [a_1\alpha + b_1\beta : \cdots : a_4\alpha + b_4\beta] \in \mathbb{P}^3 \ .$$

The line l is tangent to a quadric Q as long as the the restriction of Q to l consists of a single point (with multiplicity two). Therefore, the discriminant $B^2-4AC=0$ of the quadratic polynomial in $[\alpha,\beta]$,

$$\begin{aligned} g^*Q_t &= A\alpha^2+B\alpha\beta+C\beta^2 \\ &= (a_1^2+t(aa_2^2+ba_2a_3+\cdots+fa_4^2))\alpha^2+ \\ &+ (2aa_2b_2+t((a_2b_3+a_3b_2)b+\cdots)\alpha\beta+(b_1^2+t(ab_2^2+\cdots))\beta^2 \end{aligned}$$

describes the equations desired, which in Plücker coordinates is

$$TQ_t=\{ap_0^2+bp_0p_1+dp_1^2+cp_0p_2+ep_1p_2+fp_2^2+t(\text{extra terms})=0\} \qquad (6)$$

This shows that $\phi : X_3^\circ \to \mathbb{P}^{19}$ can be extended to the whole of E_1. Similar computations show that there are extensions to all of E_2 and E_3. Indeed, since E_2 is $SL_4\mathbb{C}$-invariant, then we can assume that $Q_t=xy+t(az^2+bzw+cw^2)$ and analyze the normal directions at this point. Following the same argument as above, we find that the associated hypersurface, in Plücker coordinates is

$$\Sigma_1(Q_t)=\{p_0^2+t(\text{other terms})=0\}\ .$$

It follows that the (radical of the) limit as $t\to 0$ coincides with the Schubert cycle $\Sigma_1(L)\subset\mathbb{G}(1,3)$ where $L=\{x=y=0\}$. This gives the natural extension for $\phi_{|E_2}$ as desired. The case for E_3 is clear. This completes the proof. □

Semple's notation for $\phi(X_3)$ is $C_9^{92}[19]$. He showed [Sem52] that $\rho_2(X_3)$ is normal. Hence, the following result follows from Theorem B.

Corollary 15. *The morphism $\rho_2 : X_3 \to \mathbf{Chow}_2(1,X_3)\subset\mathbb{P}^{19}$ contracts the divisor E_2. Furthermore, $X_3(H_2)\cong\mathbf{Chow}_2(1,X_3)$.*

Remark. The degree of $\mathbf{Chow}_2(1,X_3)\subset\mathbb{P}^{19}$ is 92, and has the following enumerative significance. It is the number of smooth quadric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$ which are tangent to 9 fixed lines in general position.

The flip of X_3. We now construct the flip X_3^+ of the space of complete quadric surfaces. We do so by analyzing a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on $\mathbf{Hilb}^{2x+1}(\mathbb{G}(1,3))$.

Definition 16. Let $\mathbf{Hilb}=\mathbf{Hilb}^{2x+1}(\mathbb{G}(1,3))$ denote the Hilbert scheme parametrizing subschemes of $\mathbb{G}(1,3)\subset\mathbb{P}^5$ whose Hilbert polynomial is $P(x)=2x+1$.

Proposition 17. *Let* **Hilb** *be as defined above, then*

$$\mathbf{Hilb}\cong Bl_{\mathbb{OG}}(\mathbb{G}(2,5))$$

where $\mathbb{OG}\subset\mathbb{G}(2,5)$ denotes the Orthogonal Grassmannian inside the Grassmannian of 2-planes in $\mathbb{P}^5$.

Proof. Observe that a generic smooth curve with Hilbert polynomial $P(x)=2x+1$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$ is a plane conic C. Thus, its ideal $I_C\subset k[p_0,...,p_5]$ is generated by a quadric F and three independent linear forms L_1,L_2,L_3. Since $C\subset\mathbb{G}=\mathbb{G}(1,3)$, the equation F is the quadric corresponding to the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}\subset\mathbb{P}^5$ under the Plücker embedding. This description gives rise to a rational map

$$f:\mathbb{G}(2,5)\dashrightarrow\mathbf{Hilb}$$

by assigning the 2-plane P defined by the independent linear forms (L_1, L_2, L_3) to the ideal $\langle L_1, L_2, L_3\rangle + \langle F\rangle \subset k[p_0, ..., p_5]$. Observe the exceptional locus of f consists of planes in $\mathbb{P}^5$ such that there is a containment of ideals $\langle F\rangle \subset \langle L_1, L_2, L_3\rangle$ *i.e.*, planes P which are contained in the quadric $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$. We denote the locus parametrizing such planes by $\mathbb{OG}$. This locus is precisely the Orthogonal Grassmannian. Now, we resolve the rational map f,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Bl_{\mathbb{OG}}(\mathbb{G}(2,5)) & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & \searrow^{\tilde{f}} & \\ \mathbb{G}(2,5) & \overset{f}{- - - \succ} & \mathbf{Hilb} \end{array}$$

The morphism $\tilde{f}$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, the rational map f is birational as it has an inverse morphism $g : \mathbf{Hilb} \to \mathbb{G}(2,5)$ defined as follows: let $[C] \in \mathbf{Hilb}$ be a generic element, then the ideal $I(C) = (F) + (\text{plane}) \overset{g}{\mapsto} (\text{plane}) \in \mathbb{G}(2,5)$. It is clear that $f \circ g = Id$, hence f and consequently $\tilde{f}$ are birational. Furthermore, $\tilde{f}$ is a bijection. Indeed, since the exceptional divisor $E \subset Bl_{\mathbb{OG}}(\mathbb{G}(2,5))$ is a $\mathbb{P}^5$-bundle over $\mathbb{OG}$, then we can write $p = (P, C)$ where $P \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is a 2-plane and $C \subset P$ is a plane conic. Thus, Zariski's Main Theorem implies that $\tilde{f}$ is an isomorphism. □

Corollary 18. *Let* **Hilb** *be as above, then* $\text{Pic}(\mathbf{Hilb}) \cong \langle H^+, E_2^+, E_{1,1}^+\rangle$ *where* H^+ *is the pullback of* $\sigma_1 \in A^1(\mathbb{G}(2,5))$ *and the* E^+*'s are the exceptional divisors of the blowup.*

Proof. The orthogonal Grassmannian $\mathbb{OG}$ has two components, hence the result follows. □

If the field k is algebraically closed, then for a given smooth quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3_k$, the Fano variety of lines $F_1(Q) \subset \mathbb{G}(1,3)$ consists of two smooth conics. By exchanging such conics we get a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on $\mathbf{Hilb}^{2x+1}(\mathbb{G}(1,3))$.

Lemma 19. *There is a nontrivial globally defined* $\mathbb{Z}/2$*-action on* $\mathbf{Hilb}^{2x+1}(\mathbb{G}(1,3))$.

Proof. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth quadric hypersurface. The Fano variety of lines $F_1(Q)$ is the zero locus of a section of the following bundle,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Sym^2(S^*) & & \\ {\scriptstyle Q|L}\circlearrowleft \uparrow \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \\ \mathbb{G}(1,3). & & \end{array}$$

A smooth conic in $\mathbb{P}^5$ determines uniquely a 2-plane, thus in the Plücker embedding $\mathbb{G}(1,3) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, we have that

(1) $F_1(Q)$ determines two 2-planes if $rank(Q)$ is either 2 or 4,
(2) $F_1(Q)$ determines a single 2-plane if $rank(Q)$ is either 1 or 3.

Exchanging such planes gives rise to a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on $\mathbb{G}(2,5)$, the Grassmannian of 2-planes in $\mathbb{P}^5$. Clause (2) above, says that such a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on $\mathbb{G}(2,5)$ preserves the Orthogonal Grassmannian $\mathbb{OG}$, hence inducing a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on the blowup $\mathbf{Hilb}^{2x+1}(\mathbb{G}(1,3))$. □

Observe that there is a $SL_4\mathbb{C}$-action on **Hilb** induced by the action of SL_4 on $\mathbb{P}^3$. This action stratifies **Hilb** in SL_4-orbits compatible with the exceptional divisors $E_2^+, E_{1,1}^+$. Notice that $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts trivially (as the identity) over SL_4-orbits of codimension 2. In codimension 1, we have that $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts as the identity on the exceptional divisors E_2^+ and $E_{1,1}^+$.

Definition 20. Considering the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action defined above, let us denote the quotient $X_3^+ := \mathbf{Hilb}/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{G}, 2)$ be the Kontsevich moduli space of degree 2 stable maps into the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}(1, 3)$.

Lemma 21. *There is a nontrivial globally defined $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on the Kontsevich moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{G}(1, 3), 2)$.*

Proof. Observe we have a generic 2-1 morphism from the Kontsevich moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{G}(1, 3), 2) = \{(C, C^*\}$ to the space X_3 of complete quadric surfaces defined as follows

$$(C, C^*) \mapsto \left(\bigcup_{L \in C} L, C^* \right)$$

where the notation (S, C^*) means a surface S, and a curve C^* as its marking. This map is 2 to 1 over the open subset parametrizing smooth quadric surfaces as well as over the divisor of complete quadrics of rank 2. Indeed, if $\bigcup_{L \in C} L$ sweeps out a smooth quadric S, then L is a ruling of S. The other ruling induces another conic C' which gets mapped to S. The situation is similar over the locus of complete quadrics of rank 2. Notice that this map is 1-1 outside two such regions. We now define the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ by identifying the two curves C and C'. □

Corollary 22. *The quotient of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{G}, 2)$ by the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action is isomorphic to X_3. In particular, the quotient is smooth.*

Proof. Let Z denote the quotient of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ by the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action defined above. Observe that X_3 and Z are birational and there is a bijection between them. Zariski's Main Theorem implies the corollary. □

5. Proof of Theorem A

Corollary 11 claims that the movable cone $\mathrm{Mov}(X_3)$ is the closed cone spanned by non-negative linear combinations of H_1, H_2, H_3 and P, where the latter divisor is defined in Definition 8. In this section we describe all the models $X_3(D)$, where $D \in \mathrm{Mov}(X_3)$. We interpret the spaces constructed thus far, $\mathbf{Chow}_2(1, X_3)$ and X_3^+, as models $X_3(D)$ for some D in $\mathrm{Mov}(X_3)$, which exhibits the moduli structure on the models.

Proposition 23. *There is a morphism from $X_3^+ = \mathbf{Hilb}/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ to the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-Chow variety defined by forgetting the scheme structure and only considering its cycle class. Likewise, there is a morphism from the space of complete quadrics X_3 to the same $\mathbb{Z}/2$-Chow variety.*

Proof. Define the following spaces: let $I = \{(C, C^*, \Lambda)\}$ be the incidence correspondence such that C is a connected, arithmetic genus zero, degree two curve in $\mathbb{G}(1,3) \cap \Lambda$ and C^* is the dual curve in Λ^*. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{G}, 2)$ be the Kontsevich space of degree two stable maps into the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}(1,3)$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the Chow variety of conics in $\mathbb{P}^5$ which are contained in $\mathbb{G}(1,3)$. The incidence correspondence I admits a map to both $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{G}, 2)$ and **Hilb** by projecting to the first two factors, and by projecting to the first and third factors, respectively. By projection to the first factor, we get a map to $\mathcal{C}$. Since the morphisms Kh and Ch are small contractions, and $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts trivially in SL_4-orbits of codimension 2 and higher, then the Chow variety $\mathcal{C}$ inherits a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action. We thus have the following,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & I & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{G},2) & & & & \mathbf{Hilb} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathbb{Z}/2} & \searrow^{Kh} & & \swarrow_{Ch} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathbb{Z}/2} \\ X_3 & & \mathcal{C} & & X_3^+ \\ & \searrow_{\pi_1} & \downarrow & \swarrow_{\pi_2} & \\ & & \mathcal{C}/(\mathbb{Z}/2) & & \end{array} \tag{7}$$

The existence of the morphisms π_1 and π_2 follows from the fact that X_3 as well as X_3^+ are $\mathbb{Z}/2$-quotients. □

We can identify models $X_3(D)$ thanks to the following.

Lemma 24. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a birational morphism, where X and Y are normal projective algebraic varieties. Let $D \subset Y$ be an ample divisor, then*

$$\mathrm{Proj}(\oplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, f^* D)) = Y.$$

In the main Theorem of this section, we list only the models $X_3(D)$ for which we have exhibited a moduli interpretation.

Theorem A. *Let D be an integral effective divisor in the space of complete quadric surfaces X_3, and let*

$$X_3(D) = \mathrm{Proj}\left(\bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X_3, mD)\right)$$

be the model induced by D. Then, we have the following models for $X_3(D)$,

1. *$X_3(D) \cong X_3$ for D contained in the cone spanned by H_1, H_2 and H_3.*
2. *$X_3(H_1) \cong \mathbf{Hilb}^{(x+1)^2}(\mathbb{P}^3) \cong \mathbb{P}^9$ and $f : X_3 \to X_3(H_1)$ contracts the divisors E_1 and E_2.*
3. *$X_3(H_3) \cong \mathbf{Hilb}^{(x+1)^2}(\mathbb{P}^{3*}) \cong \mathbb{P}^{9*}$ and $g : X_3 \to X_3(H_3)$ contracts the divisors E_3 and E_2.*
4. *$X_3(H_2) \cong \mathbf{Chow}_2(1, X_3)$ and $\phi : X_3 \to X_3(H_2)$ contracts the divisor E_2.*

5. $X_3(D) \cong \mathcal{C}/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ *where* $\mathcal{C}$ *is the Chow variety of Proposition* 21 *and* $D = tH_1 + (1-t)H_3$ *for* $0 < t < 1$. *The map* $\phi_1 : X_3 \to \mathcal{C}/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ *is a small contraction, whose exceptional locus is* $Exc(\phi_1) = E_1 \cap E_3$.
6. $X_3(D) \cong X_3^+$ *for* D *contained in the domain spanned by* H_1, H_3 *and* P. *The map* $\phi_2 : X_3^+ \to \mathcal{C}/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ *is the flip of* ϕ_1, *where the flipping locus consists of subschemes supported on a line.*
7. $X_3(P) \cong \mathbb{G}(2,5)/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$, *where* P *is defined in Definition 8.*

The result above can be best seen from the following diagram:



(8)

where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are small contractions and the other maps, except for the flip, are all divisorial contractions. Observe that from Corollary 16 and Proposition 7 we know abstractly all the divisorial contractions of X_3 or X_3^+ induced by $\mathrm{Mov}(X_3)$.

Proof of Theorem A. (1), (2), (3) follow from Theorem B and the description of X_3 given in section 2.

(4) This is established in corollary 15.

(5) Let $C_{1,2}$ be the curve defined before Proposition 10, whose deformations cover the codimension 2 subvariety $E_1 \cap E_3$. Observe that for any divisor $D = tH_1 + (1-t)H_3$ where $0 < t < 1$, we have that $C_{1,2}.D = 0$, which says the map ϕ_D contracts the codimension 2 locus $E_1 \cap E_3$. The locus which is contracted does not get any larger as the map $X_3 \to \mathcal{C}/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ behaves locally similar to that of diagram (8) and by the observation made about the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on SL_4-orbits, its exceptional locus behaves as in [CC10].

(6) By definition, the morphism $\phi_2 : X_3^+ \to \mathcal{C}/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is the flip of $\phi_1 : X_3 \to \mathcal{C}/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$, if for any divisor D in the domain spanned by H_1, H_3 and P, then both $-D$ is ϕ_1-ample and D is ϕ_2-ample.

It is important to notice that the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on X_3^+ is the identity over the locus which is flipped.

The following analysis takes place in codimension 2; by the previous observation we can neglect the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action altogether. We verify that any D as above is ϕ_2-ample. Note that $\phi_2^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Indeed, for $L \subset \mathbb{G}(1,3)$, where L denotes a line, consider the tangent space $\mathbb{T}_L\mathbb{G}(1,3) \cong \mathbb{P}^3$. Now $\mathbb{T}_L\mathbb{G}(1,3) \cap \mathbb{G}(1,3)$ is a quadric of rank 1 (a double plane) with a double line $2L$ on it. The pencil of planes containing L are different points of **Hilb**, however they all map to the same point $[2L]$ of the Chow variety $\mathcal{C}$. This means that the fiber of ϕ_2 over the point $[2L]$ is a pencil of planes, hence $\mathbb{P}^1$. Now let $D = a\overline{H}_1 + b\overline{H}_3 + cP$ for positive $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ and where $\overline{H}_1$ and $\overline{H}_3$ are defined in $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{Hilb})$ as follows. $\overline{H}_1 = \{(C,\Lambda) |\ C \cap \sigma_2(Pl) \neq \emptyset\}$ for a fixed plane $Pl \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, and $\overline{H}_3 = \{(C,\Lambda) | C \cap \sigma_{1,1}(p) \neq \emptyset\}$ for a fixed point $p \in \mathbb{P}^3$.

Consequently, for the curve $\gamma = \phi_2^{-1}(2L)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma.D &= \gamma.(a\overline{H}_1 + b\overline{H}_3 + cP) \\ &= c(\gamma.P) \\ &= c(\gamma.\sigma_1) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{G}(2,5) \\ &> 0 \ .\end{aligned}$$

Thus, D is ϕ_2-ample.

Let us now describe the fiber $\phi_1^{-1}(p)$. By Nakai's ampleness criteria, $-D$ will be ϕ_1-ample if and only if $D.\gamma < 0$ for any curve γ contained in the fiber of ϕ_1. The curve $C_{1,2}$ is contained in such a fiber as it is contracted by ϕ_1. Hence, by Lemma 9,

$$\begin{aligned}C_{1,2}.D &= C_{1,2}.(aH_1 + bH_3 + cP) \\ &= c(C_{1,2}.P) \\ &= -c(C_{1,2}.H_2) \\ &< 0 \ .\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $-D$ is ϕ_1-ample.

(7) Follows by construction. This completes the proof of Theorem A. □

6. Historical Remarks and Future Work

In this section, we state the historically accurate definition of the space X_n, and comment on the relation of Semple's work [Sem48], [Sem52] to the results in this paper. Moreover, we link our work to GIT and representation theory.

Let us recall the historically accurate definition of the space of complete quadrics. Under this definition, the description of X_n in Definition 1 is a Theorem in [Vai82]. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a smooth quadric hypersurface. It defines a symmetric linear map $Q : V \to V^*$, which induces a symmetric linear map $\wedge^k Q : \wedge^k V \to \wedge^k V^*$ for any $1 \leq k \leq n$. Hence, $\wedge^k Q \in S^2(\wedge^k V)$. If Q is smooth, then the association $Q \mapsto \wedge^k Q$ is injective up to multiplication by scalars. Consequently, we get an embedding of X_n°, the family of smooth quadric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}(V)$, into the space $W = \mathbb{P}(S^2(V)) \times \mathbb{P}(S^2(\wedge^2 V)) \times \ldots \times \mathbb{P}(S^2(\wedge^n V))$ through the map

$$\rho : Q \mapsto (Q, \wedge^2 Q, \ldots, \wedge^n Q).$$

Definition 25. The space of complete $(n-1)$-quadrics X_n is defined as the closure $\overline{\rho(X_n^\circ)} \subset W$.

Consider $X_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^9 \times \mathbb{P}^{19} \times \mathbb{P}^{9*} = \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_2 \times \mathbb{P}_3$, the space of complete quadric surfaces. Theorem A claims the image of the projection map $\rho_i : X_3 \to \mathbb{P}_i$ is isomorphic to $X_3(H_i)$, for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. One can also consider the projection to

$$\rho_{i,j} : X_3 \to \mathbb{P}_i \times \mathbb{P}_j \ ,$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. Semple focused on the projections ρ_2 and $\rho_{1,3}$. For example, he denotes the space $\rho_2(X_3)$ by C_9^{92}[19] and carefully studies its singularities. By Proposition 7, the projection $\rho_{1,2}(X_3)$ (respectively, $\rho_{2,3}(X_3)$) is a divisorial contraction isomorphic to $Bl_{\Phi_1}\mathbb{P}^9$ (respectively, $Bl_{\Phi_1}\mathbb{P}^{*9}$). The projection $\rho_{1,3}(X_3)$ is of a different kind. It is a small contraction which Semple denotes by W_9. He

carefully analyzes the singularities of W_9 as well as its geometry. We have seen, these spaces are the models arising from divisors in the nef cone of X_3.

Birational models of X_3 which are not analyzed in [Sem52] arise when we study the models $X_3(D)$ induced by divisors D which are not nef, but which are contained in the movable cone. One such example is the flip of X_3 over $\rho_{1,3}(X_3)$.

On the other hand, the space of complete $(n-1)$-quadrics can be obtained as a GIT quotient. Indeed, De Concini and Procesi in [DCP80] constructed the "wonderful compactification" of a symmetric variety. Viewing $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \cong SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})/\Delta$, as a symmetric variety, one can consider the wonderful compactification $\overline{G} = \overline{SL_{n+1}\mathbb{C}}$. This is a H-variety, where H is the fixed subgroup of the SL_{n+1}-involution $A \mapsto {}^tA^{-1}$. Thus, we can take the GIT-quotient $\overline{G}^{ss}//H$ for a suitable choice of linearization of H. This quotient is a compactification of SL_{n+1}/H, which is isomorphic to complete $(n-1)$-quadrics [Kan99]. This point of view suggests that we might understand Theorem A as a variation of GIT.

Observe that the models $X_3(D)$, for $D \in \mathrm{Mov}(X_3)$, are SL_4-equivariant compactifications of the homogeneous space $SL_4/\overline{SO}(4)$. Then, the results of this paper admit a description in terms of the Luna-Vust Theory on compactifications of spherical varieties [LV83]. The latter theory is written in representation-theoretic terms, and aims to understand the G-equivariant embeddings of homogeneous spaces $G/H \to X$, where X is a G-variety. In future work, we aim to study the relation among the SL_4-equivariant compactifications of $SL_4/\overline{SO}(4)$, à la Vust-Luna, and the small modifications (Definition 11) of the De Concini-Procesi wonderful compactification of $SL_4/\overline{SO}(4)$.

References

[ABCH13] D. Arcara, A. Bertram, I. Coskun, and J. Huizenga, *The minimal model program for the Hilbert scheme of points on the plane and Bridgeland stability*, Advances in Mathematics **325** (2013), 580–626.

[BCHM] P. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. Hacon, and J. McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. (2010), no. 2, 405–468.

[Ber] A. Bertram, *An application of a log version of the Kodaira vanishing theorem to embedded projective varieties*, arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9707001.

[CC10] D. Chen and I. Coskun, *Stable base locus of Kontsevich moduli spaces*, Michigan Mathematical Journal **59** (2010), no. 2, 435–466.

[Cha64] M. Chasles, *Dètermination du nombre de sections coniques qui doivent toucher cinq courbes donneés d'ordre quelconque, ou satisfaire à diverses autres conditions*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **58** (1864), 222–226.

[Che05] D. Chen, *Mori's program for the Kontsevich moduli space* $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^3, 3)$, Int. Math. Res. Not. **235** (2005), 17 pp.

[CHS05] I. Coskun, J. Harris, and J. Starr, *Effective cone of the Kontsevich moduli space*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **235** (2005), 17 pp.

[DCGMP88] C. De Concini, M. Goresky, R. MacPherson, and C. Procesi, *On the geometry of quadrics and their degenerations*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **63** (1988), 337–413.

[DCP80] C. De Concini and C. Procesi, *Complete symmetric varieties*, Invariant Theory (1980), Springer-Verlag.

[Eis95] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a view towards Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[ELMMP1] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, M. Mustaţă, M. Nakamaye, and M. Popa, *Asymptotic invariants of base loci*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **56** (2006), no. 6, 1701–1734.

[ELMMP2] ———, *Restricted volumes and base loci of linear series*, Amer. J. Math. **131** (2009), no. 3, 607–651.

[GH78] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, Wiley Interscience, 1978.

[Har78] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1978.

[Har09] ———, *Deformation Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 2009.

[Has05] B. Hassett, *Classical and minimal models of the moduli space of curves of genus two*, Geometric methods in algebra and number theory **235** (2005), 169–192, Birkhuser, Boston, MA,.

[HH09] B. Hassett and D. Hyeon, *Log canonical models for the moduli space of curves: The first divisorial contraction*, Transations of the AMS **361** (2009), no. 4, 1065–1080.

[HH13] ———, *Log minimal models for the moduli space of curves: The first flip*, Ann. of Math. **177** (2013), no. 3, 911–968.

[Kan99] S. Senthamarai Kanna, *Remark on the wonderful compactification of semisimple algebraic groups*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. **109** (1999), no. 3, 241–256.

[KM08] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambidge Tracts in Mathematics, 2008.

[Kol87] J. Kollár, *The structure of algebraic threefolds: an introduction to Mori's Program*, Bulletin of the Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1987), no. 2, 211–273.

[KT87] S. Kleiman and A. Thorup, *Intersection theory and enumerative geometry: a decade in review*, AMS Proc. of Symp. Pure Math (Algebraic geometry: Bowdoin 1985) **46-2** (1987), 321–370.

[Lak87] D. Laksov, *complete quadrics and linear maps*, AMS Proc. of Symp. Pure Math (Algebraic geometry: Bowdoin 1985) **46-2** (1987), 371–387.

[Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in Algebraic Geometry I, classical setting: line bundles and linear series*, Springer-Verlag, 2004.

[LV83] D. Luna and Th. Vust, *Plongements d'espaces homogènes*, Comment. Math. Helv. **58** (1983), no. 2, 186–245.

[Sch79] H. Schubert, *Kalkul der abzahlenden geomretrie*, Leipsig (1879), no. Reprinted by Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1979).

[Sem48] J. G. Semple, *On complete quadrics I*, J. Lon. Math. Soc **23** (1948), 258–267.

[Sem52] ———, *On complete quadrics II*, J. Lon. Math. Soc (1952), 280–287.

[Tha01] M. Thaddeus, *Complete collineations revisited*, arXiv:math/9808114 (2001).

[Tho96] A. Thorup, *Parameter spaces for quadrics*, Banach Center Publications **36** (1996).

[Tyr56] J.A. Tyrell, *Complete quadrics and collineations in S_n*, Mathematic **3** (1956), 69–79.

[Vai82] I. Vainsencher, *Schubert calculus for complete quadrics*, Enumerative geomety and classical algebraic geometry, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass, 1982, pp. 199–235.

University of Illinois at Chicago,
Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, Chicago, IL.
E-mail address: lozano@math.uic.edu